Re: Comment not allowed - Trump is surrendering America's moral leadership

From: P Singh [redacted]

To: comments@ft.com

Date: Saturday, 11 April 2026 at 09:08 BST

For the pompously-named FT "Editorial Board".

It is utterly disgusting that the FT did not allow any comments to this article by the pompously-named "Editorial Board".

It means readers are prevented from giving their point of view or challenging the narrative and framing of the article.

The article is an appalling and toe-curling example of FT bias and sycophancy by the FT for its idol, the US, and its support for the Israelis.

It illustrates the persistent downplaying or omission by the FT of the crimes of the Israelis. The FT does no more than say "Israel's conduct...has drawn accusations of genocide".

The FT says the Hamas attack was "horrific" but uses no such words to describe the horrific crimes committed (and being committed) by the Israelis in Palestine - the genocide, mass starvation, famine, and ethnic cleansing of Palestine.

In contrast to the dearth of description about Israeli and US atrocities being committed in Palestine, the FT has plenty of words to describe crimes committed in Sudan: "multiple atrocities...massive deaths, displacement and famine in parts of the country."

The FT has not a word about the aerial blitz of Lebanon on 09 Apr 2026 in which 50 Israeli jets dropped 160 bombs on Lebanon in 10 minutes, murdering over 250 Lebanese people.

The FT ends with the crass statement:
"Without US moral leadership, though, such efforts may lack real substance. If the mightiest global military power is making little pretence of even trying to uphold the rules, the world is indeed a more dangerous place."

This statement illustrates the sycophancy of the FT for the US. The US has no moral leadership. The US proved that when it committed genocide and ethnic cleansing of natives of north America; when it participated in the transatlantic slave trade; in its many imperialist wars across the globe.

The framing by the FT of its article title: "Trump is **surrendering America's moral leadership**" is worth noting. It says the US **has** moral leadership. The reality is the opposite. The framing is a brazen example of FT misinformation, bias, and propaganda in support of the US.

The FT says in its crass statement: "if" the US is pretending to uphold the rules. The US is obviously not pretending to uphold humanitarian rules - its crimes prove that. The FT has stated, in black and white with the words of the US Secretary of War and Trump, that the US is not upholding humanitarian rules, so why does the FT talk of "pretence" and use "if"? Why does the FT give the US the moral high ground when the US has repeatedly shown it has no high ground, only contempt for humanity and humanitarian rules and for international law?

The FT's bias for the US and the Israelis has shown it is a mouthpiece for them, and so it has no credibility and journalistic independence. The fact that the FT blocked any comments and so any challenge to its propaganda, underlines this fact.

It is also notable that the publication of this piece by the FT concides with the intention to hold negotiations between the US and the Iranians about the 'ceasefire' in the illegal war on Iran by the US-Israeli Axis of Evil. Perhaps the FT is lending its support to paint over the US's reputation for treachery during negotiations (the Iranians said they enter these negotiations with "complete distrust of the American side.").

https://www.ft.com/content/f3f8231a-f399-4f51-b95e-2c37dcd3a85e?syn-25a6b1a6=1

P Singh